substances that is the subject of a rulemaking or an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking:

- (v) A detailed statement and analysis as to why the petitioner believes that the data, views, and arguments presented by the petitioner:
- (A) Differ significantly from those presented in the proceeding(s) which establish this part;
- (B) Are so substantial as to warrant amendment of this part; and
- (C) Constitute a new issue or new evidence within the meaning of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.
- (3) Deadline for petitions. (i) Petitions which comply with paragraph (c) of this section, shall be filed in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.
- (ii) In extraordinary cases the Secretary may consider evidence submitted after the deadline if the petitioner establishes that the evidence relied upon was not available and could not have reasonably been available in whole or substantial part by the deadline and that it is being submitted at the earliest possible time.
- (d) Secretary's response. (1) The Secretary shall respond to petitions under this paragraph in accordance with §1990.106.
- (2) Whenever the Secretary determines that the "substantial new issue" or the "substantial new evidence" submitted under this paragraph is sufficient to initiate a proceeding to amend this part, the Secretary shall:
- (i) Issue a notice to consider amendment to this part and not proceed on the rulemaking concerning the individual substance until completion of the amendment proceeding; or
- (ii) Issue a notice to consider amendment to this part and consolidate it with the proceeding on the individual substance.

## § 1990.146 Issues to be considered in the rulemaking.

Except as provided in §1990.145, after issuance of the advance notice of rule-making, the proceedings for individual substances under this part shall be limited to consideration of the following issues:

- (a) Whether the substance, group of substances or combination of substances subject to the proposed rule-making is appropriately considered in a single proceeding;
- (b) Whether the substance or group of substances subject to the rulemaking meets the definition of a potential occupational carcinogen set forth in §1990.103, including whether the scientific studies are reliable;
- (c) Whether the available data can appropriately be applied to the substance, group of substances or combination of substances covered by the rulemaking;
- (d) Whether information, data, and views that are submitted in accordance with §1990.144 are sufficient to warrant an exception to this part;
- (e) Whether the data, views and arguments that are submitted in accordance with §1990.145 are sufficient to warrant amendment of this part;
- (f) Whether the potential occupational carcinogen meets the criteria for a Category I Potential Carcinogen or a Category II Potential Carcinogen.
- (g) The environmental impact arising from regulation of the substance;
- (h) Any issues required by statute or executive order;
- (i) The determination of the level to control exposures to Category I Potential Carcinogens primarily through the use of engineering and work practice controls including technological and economic considerations.
- (j) The determination of the appropriate employee exposure level, consistent with the Act's requirements, for Category II Potential Carcinogens;
- (k) Whether suitable substitutes are available for one or more uses of Category I Potential Carcinogens and; if so, the no occupational exposure level to be achieved solely with engineering and work practice controls and other issues relevant to substitution; and
- (1) Whether the provisions of the proposal and of §§1990.151 and 1990.152 (model standards) are appropriate, except as limited by §1990.142 and whether additional regulatory provisions may be appropriate.

[45 FR 5282, Jan. 22, 1980, as amended at 46 FR 5881, Jan. 21, 1981]